Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/767,471	CARGILL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	STEPHEN KAPUSHOC	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>STEPHEN KAPUSHOC</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Justin Karjala</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 January 2010</u>	Time: <u>3pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: the rejections under 35 USC 112 as set forth in the Office Action	n of 06/26/2009	
Claims discussed: 44,66,67,70,73 and 94-108		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI Examiner's Amendments to the claims of 09/28/2009 were proj		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand.</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
/Stephen Kapushoc/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634	(Applicant/Applicant's Representa	ative Signature – if appropriate)